
San Pablo Catholic University (UCSP) **Undergraduate** Program in **Computer Science SILABO**

CS291. Software Engineering I (Mandatory)



2. Professors

3. Course foundation

The aim of developing software, except for extremely simple applications, requires the execution of a well-defined development process. Professionals in this area require a high degree of knowledge of the different models and development process, so that they are able to choose the most suitable for each development project. On the other hand, the development of medium and large-scale systems requires the use of pattern and component libraries and the mastery of techniques related to component-based design

4. Summary

1. Requirements Engineering 2. Software Design 3. Software Construction

5. Generales Goals

- Provide the student with a theoretical and practical framework for the development of software under quality standards.
- Familiarize the student with the software modeling and construction processes through the use of CASE tools.
- Students should be able to select architectures and ad-hoc technology platforms for deployment scenarios
- Applying component-based modeling to ensure variables such as quality, cost, and time-to-market in development processes.
- Provide students with best practices for software verification and validation.

6. Contribution to Outcomes

This discipline contributes to the achievement of the following outcomes:

- b) An ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as to analyze and interpret data. (Usage)
- c) An ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs within realistic constraints such as economic, environmental, social, political, ethical, health and safety, manufacturability, and sustainability. (Usage)
- f) An ability to communicate effectively. (Usage)
- i) An ability to use the techniques, skills, and modern computing tools necessary for computing practice. (Assessment)
- k) Apply the principles of development and design in the construction of software systems of variable complexity. (Usage)

7. Content

Competences: i,k Content Generales Goals	
Jitent	
• Describing functional requirements using, for example, use cases or users stories	scription of some behavior that is required for a sy tem [Assessment]
 Properties of requirements including consistency, validity, completeness, and feasibility Software requirements elicitation 	• Describe how the requirements engineering process supports the elicitation and validation of behavior requirements [Assessment]
• Describing system data using, for example, class diagrams or entity-relationship diagrams	
• Non functional requirements and their relationship to software quality	• Describe the fundamental challenges of and comm techniques used for requirements elicitation [Asse
• Evaluation and use of requirements specifications	ment]
• Requirements analysis modeling techniques	• List the key components of a data model (eg, cla diagrams or ER diagrams) [Assessment]
• Acceptability of certainty / uncertainty considera- tions regarding software / system behavior	• Identify both functional and non-functional requirements in a given requirements specification for a so
• Prototyping	ware system [Assessment]
• Basic concepts of formal requirements specification	• Conduct a review of a set of software requirement to determine the quality of the requirements with respect to the characteristics of good requirement [Assessment]
 Requirements specification Requirements unlidetion 	
 Requirements validation Requirements tracing 	• Apply key elements and common methods for eli- tation and analysis to produce a set of software in quirements for a medium-sized software system [A sessment]
	• Compare the plan-driven and agile approaches to a quirements specification and validation and descri the benefits and risks associated with each [Asses ment]
	• Use a common, non-formal method to model as specify the requirements for a medium-size softwar system [Assessment]
	• Translate into natural language a software requirements specification (eg, a software component contract) written in a formal specification language [A sessment]
	• Create a prototype of a software system to mitigarisk in requirements [Assessment]
	• Differentiate between forward and backward traci and explain their roles in the requirements validation process [Assessment]

Readings: Eric Freeman and Sierra (2014), Hans-Erik Eriksson and Fado (2003)

ompetences: i,k	
ontent	Generales Goals
 System design principles: levels of abstraction (architectural design and detailed design), separation of concerns, information hiding, coupling and cohesion, re-use of standard structures Design Paradigms such as structured design (top-down functional decomposition), object-oriented analysis and design, event driven design, component-level design, data-structured centered, aspect oriented (function oriented, service oriented) Structural and behavioral models of software designs Design patterns Relationships between requirements and designs: transformation of models, design of contracts, invariants Software architecture concepts and standard architectures (e.g., client-server, n-layer, transform centered, pipes-and-filters) The use of component desing: component selection, design, adaptation and assembly of components, component and patterns, components and objects (for example, building a GUI using a standar widget set) Refactoring designs using design patterns Internal design qualities, and models for them: efficiency and performance, redundacy and fault tolerance, traceability of requeriments Measurement and analysis of design quality Tradeoffs between different aspects of quality Application frameworks Middleware: the object-oriented paradigm within middleware, object request brokers and marshalling, transaction processing monitors, workflow systems Principle of least privilege Principle of fail-safe defaults Principle of psychological acceptability 	 Articulate design principles including separation - concerns, information hiding, coupling and cohesior and encapsulation [Familiarity] Use a design paradigm to design a simple softwar system, and explain how system design principle have been applied in this design [Usage] Construct models of the design of a simple softwar system that are appropriate for the paradigm use to design it [Usage] Within the context of a single design paradigm, d scribe one or more design patterns that could be applicable to the design of a simple software system [Familiarity] For a simple system suitable for a given scenari discuss and select an appropriate design paradig [Usage] Create appropriate models for the structure and b havior of software products from their requiremen specifications [Usage] Explain the relationships between the requiremen for a software product and its design, using appropriate models [Assessment] For the design of a simple software system with the context of a single design paradigm, describe the software architecture of that system [Familiarity] Given a high-level design, identify the software a chitecture by differentiating among common software architectures such as 3-tier, pipe-and-filter, ar client-server [Familiarity] Investigate the impact of software architectures s lection on the design of a simple system [Assessmen Apply simple examples of patterns in a software d sign [Usage] Describe a form of refactoring and discuss when may be applicable [Familiarity] Select suitable components for use in the design of software produc [Familiarity] Design a contract for a typical small software component for use in a given system [Isage]

• Apply models for internal and external qualities in designing software components to achieve an accept-

age]

4

Competences: i,k		
Content	Generales Goals	
 Coding practices: techniques, idioms/patterns, mechanisms for building quality programs Defensive coding practices Secure coding practices Using exception handling mechanisms to make programs more robust, fault-tolerant Coding standards Integration strategies Development context: "green field" vs. existing code base Change impact analysis Change actualization Potential security problems in programs Buffer and other types of overflows Race conditions Improper initialization, including choice of privileges Checking input Assuming success and correctness Validating assumptions 	 Describe techniques, coding idioms and mechanism for implementing designs to achieve desired proper ties such as reliability, efficiency, and robustness [As sessment] Build robust code using exception handling mechanisms [Assessment] Describe secure coding and defensive coding prac- tices [Assessment] Describe secure coding and defensive coding prac- tices [Assessment] Select and use a defined coding standard in a sma software project [Assessment] Compare and contrast integration strategies includ- ing top-down, bottom-up, and sandwich integration [Assessment] Describe the process of analyzing and implementin changes to code base developed for a specific project [Assessment] Describe the process of analyzing and implementin changes to a large existing code base [Assessment] Rewrite a simple program to remove common vulner abilities, such as buffer overflows, integer overflow and race conditions [Assessment] Write a software component that performs some nor trivial task and is resilient to input and run-tim errors [Assessment] 	

Readings: Eric Freeman and Sierra (2014), Hans-Erik Eriksson and Fado (2003)

8. Methodology

El profesor del curso presentará clases teóricas de los temas señalados en el programa propiciando la intervención de los alumnos.

El profesor del curso presentará demostraciones para fundamentar clases teóricas.

El profesor y los alumnos realizarán prácticas

Los alumnos deberán asistir a clase habiendo leído lo que el profesor va a presentar. De esta manera se facilitará la comprensión y los estudiantes estarán en mejores condiciones de hacer consultas en clase.

9. Assessment

Continuous Assessment 1 : 20 %

Partial Exam : 30~%

Continuous Assessment 2 : 20 %

Final exam : 30~%

References

Eric Freeman Elisabeth Robson, Bert Bates and Kathy Sierra (July 2014). *Head First Design Patterns*. 2nd. O'Reilly Media, Inc.

Hans-Erik Eriksson Magnus Penker, Brian Lyons and Davis Fado (Oct. 2003). UML 2 Toolkit. 2nd. Wiley.